

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/928,474	08/14/2001	Badri N. Krishnamurthy	6301/Consilium/DV	6441	
32588 7	590 10/01/2002				
APPLIED MA	ATERIALS, INC.	EXAMINER			
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061			STEVENSON, ANDRE C		
SANTA CLAR	RA, CA 95050		51E1211001	515 v 2115 611, 711 5112 6	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		<i>N</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/928,474	KRISHNAMURTHY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre' C. Stevenson	2812				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for roots appaired above is less than thirty (30) days, a roots within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will						
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
communication.						
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:						
1. ☐ received.						
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)						
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).						
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/928,474

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 through 8 and 25, drawn to a method, classified in class 380, subclass 277.
- Claim 9 through 16 and 26, drawn to a system, classified in class 700, subclass 1.
- III. Claim 17 through 24 and 27, drawn to computer readable medium, classified in class 206, subclass 710.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, Group II and Group III are related as method, system and computer readable medium. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:

(1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the device in Group II can be managed by an entirely different method than that described in Group I. Also, Group III can be used to entirely different information than that produced by Group I or Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,474

Art Unit: 2812

70011ti0114diliber: 00/020, 1

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' Stevenson whose telephone number is (703) 308 6227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308 3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956. Also, the proceeding numbers can be used to fax information through the Right Fax system;

- TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX (703) 872-9318
- TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX (703) 872-9319
- TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX (703) 872-9317

Andre' Stevenson Art Unit 2812 09/26/02

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800